Synthesis of 1,2,3-Triazole Analogues of Lincomycin

by Marie-Pierre Collin^a), Sven N. Hobbie^b), Erik C. Böttger^b), and Andrea Vasella^{*a})

^a) Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, Department Chemie und Angewandte Biowissenschaften, ETH-Zürich, HCI Hönggerberg, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 10, CH-8093 Zürich

b) Institut für Medizinische Mikrobiologie, Universität Zürich, Gloriastrasse 30/32, CH-8006 Zürich

In search for new antibiotics we replaced the amide moiety of lincomycin 1 by a 1,2,3-triazole ring. The 1,2,3-triazoles $10a - 10k$ were obtained as single regioisomers by 'click reaction' of azide 5 with the alkyne $9k$, derived from propyl hygric acid, and the alkyl, aryl, or cycloalkyl alkynes ribosomes $9a-9j$. The new analogues proved inactive towards wild-type and A2058G mutant.

Introduction. – Lincomycin (1; Scheme 1), isolated from Streptomyces lincolnensis, is a broad-spectrum antibiotic active against most Gram-positive bacteria [1] [2]. It is an amide derived from methyl 6-amino-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside (lincosaminide (MLT) ; 2) and trans-N-methyl-4-propyl-L-proline (propylhygric acid (PHA); 4) [3][4]. Lincomycin (1) is used therapeutically for the treatment of mixed anaerobic and aerobic infections, and as alternative in the treatment of patients allergic to penicillin [5]. It inhibits peptide bond-formation by binding to 23S rRNA of the bacterial ribosome, and thereby affects the peptide chain initiation at the 50S subunit exit tunnel [2] [6].

The known analogues of lincomycin can be categorized into three groups, according to whether the glycosyl $[7][8]$, the prolinyl $[9]$, or the amide moiety $[10][11]$ is modified. Two analogues possessing a modified amide group are known, $viz₁$, the thioamide that is four times less active than lincomycin [11], and deoxolincomycin that is two times less active than lincomycin [10]. These results evidence that the $C=O$ group is necessary for high activity [12], and suggest synthesizing additional lincomycin analogues with a modified amide group.

1,2,3-Triazoles, readily prepared by cycloaddition $[13-15]$, are known peptide analogues [13] [16] [17] resistant to hydrolytic cleavage and oxidative transformations that may affect esters, thioesters, and thioamides [12] [18]. 1,2,3-Triazoles may act as Hbond acceptors only, or also as H-bond donors, depending on their substitution. In 1,4 disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles, N(2) and N(3) act as H-bond acceptors. The strong dipole moment of triazole polarizes $H - C(5)$ to a degree that it may function as a weak Hbond donor [13] [14] [16] [17] [19]. The crystal structures of amprenavir, an HIV-1 protease inhibitor ($K_i = 0.6$ nm), and of two biologically active 1,2,3-triazole analogues $(K_i = 1.7$ and 4 nm) in complex with the HIV-1 protease establish a near overlap of the amide moiety of amprenavir with the 1,4-disubstituted triazole ring of its analogues, demonstrating that the 1,2,3-triazole ring may act as bioisoster of the amide moiety [17] [20]. The *trans* configuration of the amide group of clindamycin is evidenced by the

^{© 2008} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

crystal structure of clindamycin $(=(7S)$ -7-chloro-7-deoxylincomycin [8] [21]) in complex with the 50S ribosomal subunit of the eubacterium Deinococcus radiodurans [22]. The distance of 3.42 Å between the C=O group and $O-C(2')$ of G2505¹) indicates a weak H-bond.

To probe the interaction of the triazole analogue of clindamycin, we docked it into the above-mentioned 50S ribosomal subunit²). This resulted in two conformations, A and B, in favour of A (ΔE ca. 22 kcal/mol). In A, the amide and the triazole moieties of clindamycin and its analogue occupy the same position, with $O-C(2')$ of G2505 at a distance of 3.1 and 2.8 \AA , respectively, from N(2) and N(3) of the triazole ring, suggesting a rather strong H-bond [23]. In conformation B, the triazole ring is rotated by 180° relative to its position in conformation A. The comparison of A and B with clindamycin shows no difference in the orientation of the proline moiety. According to conformation A, but not B, the triazolyl analogue may possess antibiotic properties.

In most of the proline-modified lincomycin derivatives that show antibacterial activity, the ring size of the proline moiety was modified, the N-Me group was replaced by other alkyl or by carbamoyl groups, and/or the propyl chain was replaced by other alkyl groups, maintaining or changing the configuration and/or location on the ring [9] [24]. The convenience of the 'click reaction' $[13 - 15]$ suggested examining the structure – activity relation of a series of triazole analogues where the proline ring is replaced by alkyl or aryl groups. We planned to synthesize and test a small library of 1,2,3-triazole analogues of lincomycin, substituting the proline ring by acyclic substitutents, cycloalkyl groups, or by an aromatic ring.

Results and Discussion. – The known hydrazinolytic cleavage of lincomycin (1) provided lincosaminide (2) and the hydrazide 3 that was hydrolyzed to PHA (4; *Scheme 1*) [4]. The amino group of lincosaminide (2) was transformed into the azide 5 by diazo transfer in the presence of ZnCl₂ and NEt₃ in H₂O/MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:3:1 to yield 5 (75% from 1) [25].

Scheme 1

a) $H_2NNH_2 \cdot H_2O$, reflux. b) 6n HCl, reflux. c) TfN₃, ZnCl₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/H₂O; 75%. Tf = Trifluoromethylsulfonyl.

¹⁾ All rRNA residues in this article are numbered according to their homologous position in Escherichia coli 16S rRNA.

²) Molecular modeling was performed using the Moloc programme. We thank Paul Gerber, Gerber Molecular Design, for access to the programme.

Crystals of 5 were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution in MeOH, and their structure was established by X-ray analysis³). As expected, the pyranose ring adopts the 4C_1 conformation (*Fig. 1*) that is also preferred in CD₃OD solution, as evidenced by the coupling constants compiled in Table 2 (see Exper. Part). The conformation of the side chain is discussed below.

Fig. 1. ORTEP Representation of the crystal structure of the azide 5

The alkyne 9k was prepared from PHA (4) via aldehyde 8 (Scheme 2). Treating 4 with SOCl₂ in MeOH yielded 80% of the methyl ester 6 [26] that was reduced to the alcohol 7 (LiAlH₄ in Et₂O; $> 98\%$) and oxidized to the aldehyde 8. The alkyne 9k was obtained by treating crude 8 with a solution of $Ph_3P=CBr_2$ in CH_2Cl_2 and then with BuLi, followed by chromatography, to afford the alkyne **9k** in 30% yield from 6 [27].

The reaction of azide 5 with the acetylenes $9a-9k$ (*Scheme 2*) in the presence of $CuSO_4 \cdot 5 H_2O$ and ascorbic acid in a 1:1 mixture of H₂O and i-PrOH [13 – 15] afforded the expected 1.4-disubstituted triazoles $10a - 10k$. It was not necessary to protect the OH groups of 5. The triazoles were obtained as single regioisomers in moderate-togood yields.

The chemical shifts for $C(4)$ and $C(5)$ of the 1,2,3-triazolyl unit of $10a-10k$ (142.8 – 155.2 and 124.7 – 129.6 ppm) confirm the formation of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles⁴). As expected, the 4C_1 conformation of the pyranose ring is also preferred for the triazole analogues $10a - 10k$ in CD₃OD (see *Table 2* in the *Exper. Part*).

The conformation of the side chain of crystalline 5 is characterized by the *trans*arrangement between $C(6)-N_3$ and $C(5)-O$, and a *gauche* arrangement between the N_3 group and C(4) (tg conformation about C(5)–C(6)). C(7)–O is gauche to the N_3 group and trans to $C(5)$ (gt conformation about $C(6)-C(7)$). The $(5,6)tg/(6,7)gt$ conformation is also adopted by 5 in CD₃OD solution, as evidenced by $J(5,6)$ of 10.2 and $J(6,7)$ of 2.7 Hz. A similar conformation is adopted by lincomycin hydrochloride in the solid state (Fig. 2 [29]), by lincomycin in a buffered aqueous solution (pH 7.6)

³) The crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Cryistallographic Data Centre as deposition No. CCDC-688469. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge via http:// www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif (or from the Cambridge Christallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ (fax: +44(1223)336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Typical C(4) and C(5) chemical shifts for 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles are $143-148$ and $117-$ 126 ppm, differing from the C(4) and C(5) chemical shifts of 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles (131 and 139 ppm) [28].

a) SOCl₂, MeOH, 0° ; 80%. *b*) LiAlH₄, Et₂O; quant. *c*) C₂O₂Cl₂, DMSO, NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, -78° ; quant. *d*) 1. CBr_4 , Ph_3P , CH_2Cl_2 , 0° ; quant.; 2. BuLi, THF, -78° ; 30% from 6. e) CuSO₄, ascorbic acid, i-PrOH, H₂O; 66% of 10a, 47% of 10b, 43% of 10c, 70% of 10d, 55% of 10e, 94% of 10f, 75% of 10g, 74% of 10h, 88% of 10i, 90% of 10j, 60% of 10k.

[30] [31] and in complex with the ribosome [22], and by peracetylated lincomycin in CDCl3 [32]. As expected, H-bonding in aqueous solution does not play a decisive role for the conformation. However, both lincomycin and its hydrochloride in $CD₃OD$ solution are characterized by $J(5,6)$ of 6.8 Hz and $J(6,7)$ of 6.4 Hz, evidencing a ca. 1:1 equilibrium of the $(5,6)tg/(6,7)gt$ and the $(5,6)gg/(6,7)tg$ conformers (*Fig. 2*). The population of the $(5,6)gg/6,7)tg$ conformation in CD₃OD solution suggests a partially persistant $C(7)N-H \cdots O-C(4)$ H-bond that is completely cleaved in aqueous solution. The ¹H-NMR spectra of the triazoles $10a-10k$ in CD₃OD solution show a

Fig. 2. Conformations of lincomycin in the solid state (a) and in $CD₃OD$ solution (a and b)

large $J(5,6)$ and a small $J(6,7)$ value (see Table 2 in the Exper. Part), evidencing a $(5,6)tg/(6,7)gt$ conformation of the side chain similar to the one of the azide 5 and of the lincomycin hydrochloride in the solid state.

Biological Studies. – The antibacterial activity of the triazoles $10a - 10k$ was assessed by determining minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) against both lincomycin-sensitive and lincomycin-resistant Mycobacterium smegmatis strains in at least three independent broth microdilution experiments, as described in [33].

Growth of wild-type M. smegmatis cells was completely inhibited at lincomycin concentrations as little as $4-8 \mu g/ml$, while *M. smegmatis* cells with a drug-resistance adenine to guanine mutation at 23S rRNA position 2058 [34 – 36] were highly resistant to lincomycin (Table 1). As compared to lincomycin, all 1,2,3-triazole analogues proved inactive against the wild-type and A2058G mutant *M. smegmatis* cells.

	Wild type	$2058A \rightarrow G$
Lincomycin	$4 - 8$	1024
$10a - 10d$, 10f, $10h - 10j$	> 512	> 512
10e	$128 - 256$	512
10 _g	$64 - 128$	$64 - 128$
10k	>256	> 256
^a) As minimal inhibitory concentrations (<i>MIC</i> [μ g/ml])		

Table 1. Ribosomal Drug Susceptibilitya)

We thank Dr. B. Bernet for checking the analytical data, and Dr. P. Seiler for determining the crystal structure.

Experimental Part

General. Solvents were distilled: Et₂O from Na/benzophenone, and MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, Et₃N from $CaH₂$. Reactions were carried out under $N₂$, unless stated otherwise. Qual. TLC: precoated silica-gel glass plates (*Merck* silica gel 60 F_{254}); detection by heating with 'mostain' (400 ml of 10% H₂SO₄ soln., 20 g of $(NH_4)_6M_9O_{24}$ · 6 H_2O , 0.4 g of Ce(SO₄)₂). Flash Chromatography (FC): silica gel Merck (0.04 – 0.063 mm), using distilled technical solvents as eluent. M.p.: uncorrected. Optical rotation ($[a]_D^{25}$): 1-dm cell, at 589 nm and 25°; concentration c in g/100 ml. FT-IR Spectra: neat (ATR), absorption in cm⁻¹. ¹Hand ¹³C-NMR spectra: chemical shift δ in ppm rel. to SiMe₄ as external standard; coupling constants *J* in Hz. HR-MALDI-MS and HR-ESI-MS: in gentisic acid $(=2.5$ -dihydroxybenzoic acid, DHB) or 3hydroxypropanal matrix.

Methyl 6-Azido-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside (5). A vigorously stirred suspension of NaN₃ (5 g, 400 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (75 ml) was treated dropwise with Tf₂O (13.2 ml, 80 mmol). 18-Crown-6 (53 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 25° for 24 h. It was treated carefully with H₂O until complete dissolution of NaN₃. The aq. phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(2 \times 60 \text{ ml})$. The combined org. layers were washed with NaHCO₃ soln. The resulting soln. of TfN₃ in CH_2Cl_2 (200 ml) was used for azidation without further purification; a 50% conversion to TfN₃ was assumed. A soln. of crude lincosaminide (2; 5 g, 20 mmol), obtained from commercial lincomycin according to a standard procedure [4], and $ZnCl₂$ (28 mg, 0.2 mmol) in $H₂O$ (200 ml) was treated with Et₃N (8.4 ml, 60 mmol) and slowly with MeOH (600 ml). A CH₂Cl₂ soln. of TfN₃ (200 ml, ca. 40 mmol) was radiply added to the above vigorously stirred soln. The mixture was kept at 25° for 24 h, and

evaporated. Crystallisation from MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ gave 5 (4.1 g, 75% from lincomycin). Colourless crystals. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.77. M.p. 195–196°. [α] $^{25}_{15}$ = +255.6 (c = 0.96, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3217w (br.), 2977w, 2923w, 2881w, 2117s, 1451m, 1392w, 1347w, 1318w, 1295m, 1260m, 1240m, 1147w, 1123m, 1102m, 1078m, 1038s, 988m, 952w, 918w, 900w, 866m, 830w, 802m, 738m, 703m, 668m, 613s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table* 2; additionally, 2.07 (s, MeS). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 2; additionally, 13.80 (q, MeS). HR-ESI-MS: 302.0779 (100, $[M + H]^+$, $C_9H_{18}N_3O_5S^+$; calc. 302.0781). Anal. calc. for C9H17N3O5S (279.32): C 38.70, H 6.13, N 15.04; found: C 38.55, H 6.03, N 14.83.

Table 2. Selected ¹H-NMR Chemical Shifts [ppm] and Coupling Constants [Hz], and ¹³C-NMR Chemical Shifts [ppm] of the Azide 5 and of the Triazoles $10a-10k$ in $CD₃OD$

	5	10a	10 _b	10c	10d	10e	10f	10g	10 _h	10i	10j	10k
$H-C(1)$	5.21	5.34	5.32	5.32	5.31	5.34	5.32	5.32	5.34	5.32	5.32	5.33
$H-C(2)$	4.08	4.12	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.11	4.10	4.12	4.11	4.10	4.11
$H-C(3)$	3.49	3.53	3.49	3.49	3.51	3.50	3.51	3.50	3.53	3.50	3.50	3.50
$H - C(4)$	3.96	3.36	3.24	3.24	3.34	3.27	3.28	3.26	3.36	3.27	3.26	3.21
$H-C(5)$	3.80	4.93	$4.88 -$	$4.90 -$	4.88	4.90	$4.91 -$	$4.89 -$	4.93	4.86	$4.88 -$	4.86
			4.84	4.84			4.82	4.84			4.84	
$H-C(6)$	3.98	5.05	4.97	4.99	4.95	5.01	4.94	4.94	5.04	4.95	4.98	4.98
$H-C(7)$	4.15	4.42	4.36	4.37	4.37	4.37	4.38	4.36	4.41	4.37	4.37	4.38
Me(8)	1.15	1.18	1.13	1.12	1.13	1.13	1.15	1.15	1.18	1.10	1.12	1.13
J(1,2)	5.7	5.7	5.7	6.0	6.0	5.7	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.7	6.0	5.4
J(2,3)	10.5	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.5	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2
J(3,4)	2.7	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
J(4,5)	0.8	a)	0.9	0.9	a)	0.9	a)	0.9	1.2	0.6	0.6	$^{a})$
J(5,6)	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.5	10.5	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.5	10.5	10.2	10.2
J(6,7)	3.0	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.9
J(7,8)	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.3	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.3	6.6	6.6	6.3	6.3
C(1)	90.02	90.19	90.18	90.21	90.25	90.20	90.20	90.13	90.22	90.17	90.15	90.13
C(2)	68.98	68.82	68.93	68.90	68.86	68.90	68.92	68.82	68.90	68.90	68.88	68.84
C(3)	71.81	71.50	71.55	71.55	71.56	71.54	71.57	71.50	71.58	71.63	71.55	71.51
C(4)	69.87	69.05	69.03	69.07	69.15	69.07	69.13	69.04	69.14	69.18	69.10	68.97
C(5)	70.76	70.18	70.30	70.28	70.28	70.27	70.31	70.29	70.28	70.40	70.34	70.33
C(6)	66.64	65.67	65.56	65.59	65.51	65.65	65.57	65.31	65.72	65.37	65.47	65.60
C(7)	67.97	66.75	66.83	66.78	66.74	66.81	66.85	66.83	66.86	66.95	66.87	66.70
C(8)	15.60	16.90	17.09	17.07	17.03	17.07	17.12	16.96	17.09	17.16	17.09	17.00
^a) Not assigned.												

X-Ray Analysis of 5. Crystals of 5 were obtained by slow evaporation of a soln. of 5 in MeOH (dimensions of the analyzed crystal: cube $0.21 \times 0.19 \times 0.17$ mm). C₉H₁₇N₃O₅S · MeOH, M_r 311.36, monoclinic C_2 ; $a = 32.416(7)$, $b = 7.9775(16)$, $c = 5.8808(12)$, $\alpha = 90.000$, $\beta = 93.190(3)$, $\gamma = 90.00^{\circ}$, $V =$ 1518.4(5) \hat{A}^3 , $D_x = 1.362$ Mg/m³, $Z = 4$. The reflections were measured on a *Bruker Nonius-KappaCCD* diffractometer (graphite monochromator, $M \alpha K_a$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71070$) at 250 K. All the calculations were performed using maXus (Bruker Nonius, Delft & MacScience, Japan). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis (SHELXL-97) including an isotropic extinction correction. All non-H-atoms were refined anisotropically (H-atoms isotropic, whereby Hpositions are based on stereochemical considerations). $R = 0.0735$, $Rw = 0.2006$ for 194 parameters and 2762 reflections, θ < 26.03°.

Methyl $(2\overline{S}AR)$ -1-Methyl-4-propylpyrrolidine-2-carboxylate (6). A soln. of $4 \cdot$ HCl $(1 \text{ g}, 4.8 \text{ mmol})$ in MeOH (10 ml) was treated dropwise at 0° with SOCl₂ (1.76 ml, 24.1 mmol), warmed to 25° , stirred for

18 h, and evaporated. A soln. of the residue in AcOEt was washed with a sat. NaHCO₃ soln. The aq. layer was extracted four times with AcOEt. The combined org. layers were dried ($MgSO₄$) and evaporated to afford 6 (700 mg, 80%), which was used for the next step without further purification. Colourless oil. R_f $(ACOE)$ 0.77. $\lbrack a\rbrack_5 = -76.3$ $(c = 0.98, CHCl₃)$. IR (ATR) : 2953m, 2924m, 2844w, 2773w, 1734s, 1454m, 1435m, 1358w, 1275m, 1194s, 1171s, 1138m, 1114m, 1037m, 1016m, 910w, 820w, 762w, 733w. ¹ H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 3.64 (s, MeO) ; 3.14 $(dd, J=9.0, 6.9, H_a-C(5))$; 2.91 $(dd, J=9.6, 6.6, H-C(2))$; 2.29 (s, MeN) ; 2.25 – 2.14 $(m, \text{H}-\text{C}(4))$; 2.09 – 1.98 $(m, \text{H}_a-\text{C}(3))$; 1.87 $(t, J=9.0, \text{H}_b-\text{C}(5))$; 1.71 – 1.61 $(m, m, \text{C}(4))$ $\rm{H_{b}-C(3)}$); 1.27–1.14 (m, Me(CH₂)₂); 0.83–0.78 (m, Me(CH₂)₂). ¹³C-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 173.99 $(s, C=O)$; 67.15 (d, C(2)); 63.11 (t, C(5)); 51.86 (q, MeO); 41.29 (q, MeN)); 36.91 (d, C(4)); 36.72 (t, $C(3)$); 36.39 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 21.40 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 14.17 (q, MeCH₂CH₂). EI-MS: 126.1278 (100, [M – $CO₂Me$]⁺, $C₈H₁₆N⁺$; calc. 126.1283).

 $(2S, 4R)$ -1-Methyl-4-propylpyrrolidine-2-methanol (7). A soln. of 6 (500 mg, 2.7 mmol) in Et₂O (10 ml) was treated at 0° with LiAlH₄ (205 mg, 5.4 mmol), warmed to 25°, stirred for 1 h, treated with ice cubes, and stirred for 30 min. Filtration through a pad of *Celite* and evaporation of the filtrate gave crude 7 (420 mg, quant.), which was used for the next step without further purification. Colourless oil. R_f $(ACOEt)$ 0.77. $\lbrack a\rbrack_2^2 = -11.1$ $(c = 1.01, CHCl_3)$. IR (ATR) : 3395w (br.), 2954s, 2917s, 2870s, 2844s, 2781s, 1454s, 1378w, 1210w, 1186w, 1159m, 1086m, 998m, 977w, 920w, 771w, 741w, 661w. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): 3.59 (dd, J = 11.1, 3.6, CH_a $-\text{C}(2)$); 3.37 (dd, J = 11.1, 2.7, CH_b $-\text{C}(2)$); 3.11 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.0, $H_a-C(5)$); 2.46–2.39 (m, H–C(2)); 2.29 (s, MeN); 2.11–2.00 (m, H–C(4)); 1.98–1.88 (m, H_b–C(5), $H_a-C(3)$); 1.53–1.42 (m, $H_b-C(3)$); 1.31–1.24 (m, Me(C H_2)₂); 0.91–0.83 (m, Me(C H_2)₂). ¹³C-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 65.83 $(d, \text{C}(2))$; 64.12 $(t, \text{C}(5))$; 61.99 $(t, \text{CH}_2\text{OH})$; 40.62 (q, MeN) ; 36.99 $(d, \text{C}(4))$; 36.67 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 34.64 (t, C(3)); 21.40 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 14.15 (q, MeCH₂CH₂). HR-ESI-MS: $158.1540 (23, [M + H]^+, C_9H_{20}NO^+;$ calc. $158.1539)$, $140.1434 (52, [M - OH]^+, C_9H_{18}N^+;$ calc. $140.1434)$, 96.0807 (100, $C_6H_{10}N^+$, $[M-C_3H_7O]^+$; calc. 96.0813).

 $(2S, 4R)$ -1-Methyl-4-propylpyrrolidine-2-carbaldehyde (8) . A soln. of C₂O₂Cl₂ (215 µl, 2.54 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 ml) at -78° was treated dropwise with a soln. of DMSO (360 µl) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml), stirred for 30 min, treated dropwise with a soln. of $7(200 \text{ mg}, 1.27 \text{ mmol})$ in $CH_2Cl_2(1.5 \text{ ml})$, and stirred for 1 h. The mixture was treated with Et₃N (890 µl, 6.35 mmol), warmed to 0° , stirred for 30 min, and diluted with sat. NaHCO₃ soln. The aq. layer was extracted twice with Et₂O. The combined org. layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporated to afford 8 (200 mg, quant.), which was used for the next step without further purification. Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.77. IR (ATR): 2955m, 2924m, 2871m, 2846m, 2779m, 1729s, 1451m, 1379w, 1294w, 1264w, 1210w, 1160m, 1114w, 1086w, 1055w, 1024w, 915w, 807w, 761w, 741w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 9.47 (d, J = 3.6, CHO); 3.20 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.3, H_a – C(5)); 2.76 (ddd, $J=9.9, 6.6, 3.3, H-C(2))$; 2.36 (s, MeN); 2.28–2.14 (m, H-C(4)); 2.04 (ddd, $J=12.9, 9.0, 6.3$, $H_a-C(3)$); 1.98 (dd, $J=9.6, 9.0, H_b-C(5)$); 1.62 (ddd, $J=12.9, 9.9, 7.5, H_b-C(3)$); 1.35 – 1.22 (m, $Me(CH₂)₂$); 0.92 – 0.85 (m, $Me(CH₂)₂$). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 202.46 (d, CHO); 72.84 (d, C(2)); 129.56 (t, C(5)); 41.40 (q, MeN); 37.83 (d, C(4)); 36.43 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 32.20 (t, C(3)); 21.37 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 14.04 (q, CH₂CH₂Me). EI-MS: 126.1276 (100, [M – CHO]⁺, C₈H₁₆N⁺; calc. 126.1283).

 $(2S,4R)-2-Ethynyl-1-methyl-4-proplyhyrrolidine (9k)$. A stirred soln. of PPh₃ (2.67 g, 10.18 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) was cooled to -5° to -10° and treated with a soln. of CBr₄ (1.69 g, 5.09 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml). The resulting orange-brown suspension was stirred at -10° for 15 min, and decanted at -10° to give a light brown soln, and a yellow precipitate. The soln, was added *via* a syringe to a vigorously stirred and cooled $(-10^{\circ}$ to $-5^{\circ})$ soln. of **8** (200 mg, 1.27 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml). The resulting brown soln. was stirred at -5° to -10° for 40 min, and treated dropwise with Et₃N (1.27 ml) and sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. The mixture was passed through a short pad of silica gel, and the main product was collected.

A stirred soln. of the above crude product (dibromoethene) in THF (8 ml) was cooled to -78° , and treated dropwise with 1.6m BuLi in hexane $(2 \text{ ml}, 2.54 \text{ mmol})$. The yellow soln. was stirred at -76° for 1 h, warmed to 25° , diluted with Et₂O, and washed with sat. NaHCO₃ soln. The combined org. layers were dried and evaporated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 95:5 to 3:1) gave 9k (60 mg, 30% from 6). Orange oil. R_f (hexane/AcOEt 9 : 1) 0.77. IR (ATR): 3310w, 2956s, 2926s, 2872m, 2843m, 2772m, 2105w, 1643w, 1452m, 1378w, 1343w, 1259w, 1233w, 1189w, 1159w, 1138w, 1116w, 1079w, 1040w, 930w, 795w, 741w, 642s, 623s. $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.10 (ddd, $J = 8.0, 6.0, 2.1, H - C(2))$; 3.08 (dd, $J = 9.0, 7.8, H_a - C(5))$; 2.36 (s, MeN) ; 2.34 – 2.20 $(m, \text{H}-\text{C}(4))$; 2.25 $(d, J=1.8, \text{C} \equiv \text{CH})$; 2.08 $(ddd, J=12.6, 9.6, 6.3, \text{H}_a-\text{C}(3))$; 1.97

 $(dd, J = 9.3, 7.8, H_b - C(5))$; 1.70 $(dd, J = 12.4, 7.8, 6.6, H_b - C(3))$; 1.35 – 1.17 $(m, \text{Me}(CH_2)_2)$; 0.89 – 0.83 $(m, Me(CH_2)_2)$. ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)⁵): 83.61 (s, C \equiv CH)); 71.65 (d, C \equiv CH); 61.64 (d, C(2)); 56.06 (t, C(5)); 40.02 (q, MeN); 39.17 (d, C(4)); 37.72 (t, CH₂CH₂Me); 36.20 (t, C(3)); 21.38 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 14.22 (q, MeCH₂CH₂). EI-MS: 152.1364 (27, $[M + H]^+$, C₁₀H₁₈N⁺; calc. 152.1434), 150.1265 (67, $[M - H]^+$, $C_{10}H_{16}N^+$; calc. 150.1283), 126.1271 (100, $[M - C \equiv CH]^+$, $C_8H_{16}N^+$; calc. 126.1283), 68.9949 (100, C_4H_6N , $[C_{10}H_{18}N]^+$; calc. 68.0500).

General Procedure for the Triazole Synthesis (GP) . To a stirred soln. of 5 (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) in i-PrOH/H₂O 1 : 1 (1 ml) were added CuSO₄ (0.05 equiv.), ascorbic acid (0.2 equiv.), and the corresponding alkyne (1.5 equiv.). The mixture was heated to 60° for 1 to 12 h, cooled to 25°, and evaporated to give the crude triazole.

Methyl 6,8-Dideoxy-6-(4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-1-thio-d-erythro-a-d-galacto-octopyranoside (10a). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 4:1) gave 10a (45 mg, 66%). White solid. R_f $(ACOE/MeOH 9:1)$ 0.14. M.p. 183 – 185°. $\lbrack a \rbrack_0^2 = +199.3$ $(c = 1.05, MeOH)$. IR $(ATR): 3364w$ (br.), 2975w, 2922w, 1657w, 1609w, 1581w, 1483m, 1435w, 1381w, 1302w, 1219m, 1077m, 1050m, 983w, 910w, 865w, 801w, 770s, 714w, 694m, 664w, 631w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 8.29 $(s, H - C(5))$ of triazolyl); 7.85 – 7.80 $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.46 – 7.40 $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.36 – 7.30 $(m, 1 \text{ arom. H})$; 2.19 (s, MeS). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CD3OD): see Table 2; additionally, 147.26 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 131.25 (s); 129.56 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 128.89 (d, 2 C); 126.25 (d, 2 C); 124.76 (d); 13.66 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 382.1433 (100, $[M + H]^+$, C₁₇H₂₄N₃O₅S⁺; calc. 382.1431).

Methyl 6-[4-(Aminomethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside (10b). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 95:5 to 0:1) gave 10b (28 mg, 47%). Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 1:1) 0.33. $[a]_D^{25} = +235.1$ (c = 0.83, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3348m (br.), 2979w, 2921w, 1651w, 1377w, 1338w, 1244w, 1076s, 1048s, 978s, 907w, 864w, 799m, 699w, 678w, 630w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 7.82 (s, H-C(5) of triazolyl); 3.89 (s, NH₂CH₂); 2.07 (s, MeS). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 147.94 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 125.87 $(d, C(5)$ of triazolyl); 37.21 (t, CH_2NH_2) ; 13.88 (q, MeS) . HR-MALDI-MS: 357.1199 (25, $[M + Na]$ ⁺, $C_{12}H_{22}N_4NaO_5S^+$; calc. 357.1203), 335.1385 (100, $[M+H]^+$, $C_{12}H_{23}N_4O_5S^+$; calc. 335.1384).

Methyl 6,8-Dideoxy-[6-[4-(dimethylamino)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside (10c). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 3:1) gave 10c (28 mg, 43%). Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 4:1) 0.22. $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25} = +228.1$ ($c = 1.07$, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3353m (br.), 2889w, 1642w, 1458m, 1336m, 1303w, 1242m, 1174s, 1094s, 1078s, 1047s, 1029m, 1009m, 982s, 908w, 851w, 801m, 749s, 700m, 678m, 664m, 630w, 591w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table* 2; additionally, 7.87 (s, H-C(5) of triazolyl); 3.66, 3.60 (2d, $J = 13.8$, Me₂NCH₂); 2.27 (s, Me₂N); 2.18 (s, MeS). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 2; additionally, 142.87 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 127.79 (d, $C(5)$ of triazolyl); 53.93 (t, Me₂NCH₂); 44.64 (q, Me₂N); 13.84 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 385.1517 (13, $[M + \text{Na}]^+$, C₁₆H₃N₄NaO₅S⁺; calc. 385.1516), 363.1700 (100, C₁₆H₃₃N₄O₅S⁺, [M + H]⁺; calc. 363.1697).

Methyl 6,8-Dideoxy-6-{4-[hydroxy(diphenyl)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl}-1-thio-D-erythro-α-Dgalacto-octopyranoside (10d). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 3:1) gave 10d (60 mg, 70%). Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 4:1) 0.50. $[a]_D^{25} = +109.5$ (c = 1.58, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3374m (br.), 3009w, 2922w, 1634w, 1491w, 1448w, 1297w, 1216w, 1076m, 1048m, 1011m, 982m, 892w, 801w, 750s, 699s, 665w, 638w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 7.62 (s, H-C(5) of triazolyl); 7.39 – 7.21 (m, 10 arom. H); 2.15 (s, MeS). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 2; additionally 153.95 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 147.10 (s, 2 C); 128.38 (d, 4 C); 128.05 (d, 4 C); 127.84 (d, 2 C); 127.02 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 77.46 (s, Ph₂C); 13.85 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 526.1405 (28, $[M + K]^+$, $C_{24}H_{29}KN_3O_6S^+$; calc. 526.1409), 510.1660 (38, $[M + Na]^+$, $C_{24}H_{29}N_3NaO_5S^+$; calc. 510.1669), 488.1849 $(31, [M+H]^+, C_{24}H_{30}N_3O_6S^+;$ calc. 488.1850), 470.1738 (100, $[M-OH]^+, C_{24}H_{28}N_3O_5S^+;$ calc. 470.1744).

Methyl 6-{4-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl}-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galactooctopyranoside (10e). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 4:1) gave 10e (42 mg, 55%). Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.14. [a] $^{25}_{15}$ = +218.0 (c = 0.64, CH₃OH). IR (ATR): 3362*w*

⁵) Displays 'bizarre' signals for the C \equiv CH group in the DEPT spectrum due to large ¹J(C,H) and $^{2}J(C,H)$ couplings [37].

(br.), 2889w, 2765w, 1635w, 1495s, 1452m, 1370w, 1336w, 1299w, 1230m, 1075s, 1044s, 1028m, 981m, 907w, 863w, 800w, 747s, 698s, 664w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 7.94 (s, H – C(5) of triazolyl); 7.38 – 7.24 (m, 5 arom. H); 4.64 (s, BnOCH₂); 4.58 (s, PhCH₂O); 2.18 (s, MeS). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 144.23 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 138.73 (s); 128.99 (d, 2 C); 128.68 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 128.42 (d, 2 C); 127.56 (d); 73.15 (t, PhCH₂O); 63.67 (t, PhCH₂OCH₂); 13.87 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 464.1254 (27, $[M+K]^+$, $C_{19}H_{27}KN_3O_6S^+$; calc. 464.1252), 448.1512 (36, $[M + Na]$ ⁺, C₁₉H₂₇N₃NaO₆S⁺; calc. 448.1513), 426.1686 (100, $[M + H]$ ⁺, C₁₉H₂₈N₃O₆S⁺; calc. 426.1693).

Methyl 6-(4-{[(Benzyl(methyl)amino]methyl}-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythroa-D-galacto-octopyranoside (10f). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 3:1) gave 10f (74 mg, 94%). Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.13. $[a]_D^{25} = +192.2$ (c = 1.03, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3364w (br.), 2980w, 2922w, 2795w, 1638w, 1495w, 1453w, 1368w, 1333w, 1219m, 1074m, 1049m, 982w, 908w, 861w, 801w, 771s, 699w, 664w, 631w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table* 2; additionally, 7.87 $(s, H - C(5))$ of triazolyl); 7.37 – 7.22 $(m, 5 \text{ arcm. H})$; 3.73, 3.70 $(2d, J \approx 11.1, BnNCH_2)$; 3.56 $(s, PhCH_2N)$; 2.21 (s, MeN); 2.07 (s, MeS). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 143.47 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 138.59 (s); 130.17 (d, 2 C); 128.95 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 128.01 (d, 2 C); 127.74 (d); 61.96 (t, PhCH₂N); 51.99 (t, PhCH₂NCH₂); 41.83 (q, MeN); 13.89 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 439.2009 (100, $[M+H]^+$, C₂₀H₃₁N₄O₅S⁺; calc. 439.2010).

Methyl 6-(4-Decyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside $(10g)$. GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 4:1) gave $10g$ (60 mg, 75%). White solid. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.15. M.p. 120–122^o. [α] $_{12}^{25}$ = +144.3 (c = 1.2, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3344m (br.), 2923m, 2854m, 2493w, 1647w, 1556w, 1530w, 1455w, 1377w, 1324w, 1239w, 1240m, 1087m, 1048s, 1028w, 1007w, 983m, 908w, 874w, 794w, 754w, 698w, 647w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 2; additionally, 7.68 (s, H – C(5) of triazolyl); 2.68 (t, J = 7.5, Me(CH₂)₈CH₂); 2.17 (s, MeS); 1.67 (quint., J = 7.5, Me(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂); 1.40 – 1.22 (m, 14 H); 0.89 (t, J = 6.9, Me(CH₂)₈CH₂). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 147.62 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 125.50 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 32.64, 30.32, $30.27, 30.15, 30.07, 30.05, 29.91, 25.84, 23.31$ (9t, Me(CH₂)₉); 14.04 (q, MeS); 13.64 (q, Me(CH₂)₉). HR-MALDI-MS: 468.2507 (10, $[M + Na]$ ⁺, C₂₁H₃₉NaN₃O₅S⁺; calc. 468.2503), 446.2687 (100, $[M + H]$ ⁺, $C_{21}H_{40}N_3O_5S^+$; calc. 446.2683).

Methyl 6,8-Dideoxy-6-[4-(4-pentylphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside (10h). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH $98:2$ to 4:1) gave 10h (60 mg, 74%). White solid. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.14. M.p. 182–185°. $[a]_D^{25} = +186.7$ ($c = 1.08$, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3423w, 3341w, 3281w, 3172w (br.), 2927w, 2853w, 1501w, 1467w, 1445w, 1421w, 1378w, 1338w, 1322w, 1292m, 1263w, 1233m, 1206w, 1186w, 1140m, 1125m, 1084s, 1049s, 1037s, 1028w, 1003w, 984s, 908w, 881w, 842m, 795s, 785s, 756w, 735w, 707w, 685w, 646w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table* 2; additionally, 8.24 (s, H – C(5) of triazolyl); 7.75 – 7.70 (br. $d, J = 8.1, 2$ arom. H); 7.25 (br. $d, J = 8.4, 2$ arom. H); 2.63 (t, $J = 7.2$, Me(CH₂)₃CH₂); 2.19 (s, MeS); 1.64 (quint., $J = 7.5$, Me(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₂); 1.40 – 1.28 (m, $Me(CH_2)_{2}CH_2CH_2; 0.90$ (t, $J=6.9$, $Me(CH_2)_{3}CH_2$). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 2; additionally, 147.30 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 143.93 (s); 129.55 (d, 2 C); 128.61 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 126.21 (d, 2 C); 124.40 (d); 33.36, 32.33, 32.05, 23.33 (4t, Me(CH₂)₄); 14.16 (q, MeS); 13.87 (q, $Me(CH₂)₄$). HR-MALDI-MS: 452.2218 (100, $[M+H]⁺$, C₂₁H₄₀N₃O₅S⁺; calc. 452.2214).

Methyl 6-[4-(Cyclopentylmethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-6,8-dideoxy-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galactooctopyranoside (10i). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH $98:2$ to 4:1) gave 10i (61 mg, 88%). White solid. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.14. M.p. 165 – 167°. [α]²⁵ = +216.5 (c = 1.45, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3288w (br.), 2977w, 2966m, 2938m, 2923m, 2866m, 2844m, 1557w, 1454w, 1372w, 1359w, 1345w, 1322w, 1239w, 1220m, 1095m, 1050s, 1032s, 1006s, 952w, 908w, 876w, 854w, 791m, 772m, 685m, 648m, 597m, 579m, 536m, 522m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 7.70 (s, H-C(5) of triazolyl); 2.69 (d, J = 7.5, CH₂C = CH); 2.18 (heptet, J = 7.5, CH₂CHCH₂); 2.17 (s, MeS); 1.82 – 1.50 (m, 6 H); 1.30 – 1.17 (m, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 147.04 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 125.79 (d, $C(5)$ of triazolyl); 41.11 (d, $C(1)$ of cyclopentyl); 33.13 (t, $C(2)$ and $C(5)$ of cyclopentyl); 32.00 (t, CH₂C=CH), 25.76 (t, C(3) and C(4) of cyclopentyl); 13.86 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 398.1900 (100, $[M + H]^+$, $C_{17}H_{30}N_3O_5S^+$; calc. 398.1901).

Methyl 6,8-Dideoxy-6-{4-[(1-hydroxycyclohexyl)]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl}-1-thio-D-erythro-a-D-galacto-octopyranoside (10j). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 3:1) gave 10j (65 mg,

90%). Colourless oil. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 4:1) 0.33. M.p. 100 – 102°. [$a]_D^{25} = +176.5$ ($c = 1.12$, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3358m (br.), 2930w, 2854w, 2766w, 1635w, 1446w, 1337w, 1303w, 1244m, 1215m, 1076s, 1043s, 1029w, 979m, 906w, 850w, 801w, 750s, 699w, 664w, 632w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 2; additionally, 7.78 (s, H – C(5) of triazolyl); 2.18 (s, MeS); 2.07 – 1.97 (m, 2 H); 1.85 – 1.70 (m, 4 H); 1.63 – 1.33 (m, 4 H); 1.12 (d, $J = 6.3$, Me). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 155.13 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 124.76 (d, C(5) of triazolyl); 69.95 (s, C(1) of cyclohexyl); 38.56 (t, 2 C); 26.32 (t); 22.82 $(t, 2 C)$; 13.83 (q, MeS). HR-MALDI-MS: 442.1415 (16, $[M + K]^+$, $C_{17}H_{29}KN_3O_6S^+$; calc. 442.1409), 426.1676 (20, $[M + Na]^+$, C₁₇H₂₉N₃NaO₆S⁺; calc. 426.1669), 404.1848 (100, $[M + H]^+$, C₁₇H₃₀N₃O₆S⁺; calc. 404.1850).

Methyl 6,8-Dideoxy-6-{4-[(2S,4R)-1-methyl-4-propylpyrrolidin-1-yl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl}-1-thio-derythro- α -D-galacto-octopyranoside (10k). GP and FC (amino phase gel, AcOEt/MeOH 98:2 to 4:1) gave 10k (46 mg, 60%). White solid. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 9:1) 0.13. M.p. 90 – 92°. $\left[\alpha \right]_D^{25} = +169.6$ (c = 1.04, MeOH). IR (ATR): 3352w (br.), 2956w, 2921w, 2874w, 2848w, 2791w, 1552w, 1453w, 1379w, 1299w, 1216w, 1138w, 1094m, 1076m, 1049s, 980m, 945w, 908w, 865w, 801w, 749s, 700w, 683w, 665w, 630w. ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 7.88 (s, H – C(5) of triazolyl); 3.53 – 3.47, 3.30 – 3.27 (2m, 2 H of pyrrolidinyl); 2.41 – 2.31, 2.25 – 2.20 (2m, 2 H of pyrrolidinyl); 2.25 (s, MeN); 2.18 (s, MeS); $2.08 - 2.01$ (m, 2 H of pyrrolidinyl); 1.95 – 1.85 (m, 2 H of pyrrolidinyl); 1.30 – 1.17 (m, Me(CH₂)₂); 0.94 (t, $J = 6.9$, $Me(CH_2)_2$). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): see *Table 2*; additionally, 148.19 (s, C(4) of triazolyl); 126.17 (d, $C(5)$ of triazolyl); 68.84 (d, $C(2)$ of pyrrolidinyl); 64.43 (t, $C(5)$ of pyrrolidinyl); 40.52 (q, MeN); 39.48 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 38.10 (t, C(3) of pyrrolidinyl); 36.83 (d, C(4) of pyrrolidinyl); 21.99 (t, MeCH₂CH₂); 14.19 (q, MeS); 13.70 (q, MeCH₂CH₂). HR-MALDI-MS: 431.2329 (100, [M + H]⁺, C₁₉H₃₅N₄O₅S⁺; calc. 431.2323).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Mason, A. Dietz, C. DeBoer, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1962, 554.
- [2] C. Lewis, H. W. Clapp, J. E. Grady, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1962, 570.
- [3] R. R. Herr, G. Slomp, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 2444; H. Hoeksema, B. Bannister, R. D. Birkenmeyer, F. Kagan, B. J. Magerlein, F. A. MacKellar, W. Schroeder, G. Slomp, R. R. Herr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 4223; B. J. Magerlein, R. D. Birkenmeyer, R. R. Herr, F. Kagan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 2459; G. Slomp, F. A. MacKellar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 2454.
- [4] W. Schroeder, B. Bannister, H. Hoeksema, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 2448.
- [5] J. Spizek, J. Novotna, T. Rezanka, Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 2004, 56, 121.
- [6] J. Clancy, B. J. Schmieder, J. W. Petitpas, M. Manousos, J. A. Williams, J. A. Faiella, A. E. Girard, P. R. McGuirk, J. Antibiot. 1995, 48, 1273.
- [7] B. Bannister, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 3025; B. Bannister, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 3031; A. Kucers, N. Bennett, 'The Use of Antibiotics: A Comprehensive Review with Clinical Emphasis:, Heinemann, 1987; B. J. Magerlein, R. D. Birkenmeyer, F. Kagan, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1966, 727.
- [8] B. Bannister, Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1633.
- [9] J. Lewis, D. V. Patel, A. S. Kumar, M. F. Gordeev, PCT Int. Appl. 2003-US25820, 2004; J. G. Lewis, S. K. Anandan, H. O:Dowd, M. F. Gordeev, U.S. Pat. Appl. 2004-992564, 2005; J. G. Lewis, S. K. Anandan, H. O:Dowd, M. F. Gordeev, PCT Int. Appl. 2004-US19689, 2005; J. G. Lewis, S. K. Anandan, H. O:Dowd, M. F. Gordeev, L. Li, U.S. Pat. Appl. 2005-2/7836, 2006; J. G. Lewis, S.-K. Anandan, H. O:Dowd, M. F. Gordeev, U.S. Pat. Appl. 2004-871618, 2005; J. G. Lewis, D. V. Patel, S. K. Anandan, M. F. Gordeev, U.S. Pat. Appl. 2004-777455, 2004.
- [10] J. M. Campbell, F. Reusser, C. T. Caskey, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1979, 90, 1032.
- [11] B. J. Magerlein, F. Kagan, J. Med. Chem. 1969, 12, 974.
- [12] A. F. Spatola, Chem. Biochem. Amino Acids, Pept., Proteins 1983, 7, 267.
- [13] H. C. Kolb, K. B. Sharpless, Drug Discovery Today 2003, 8, 1128.
- [14] J. E. Moses, A. D. Moorhouse, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1249.
- [15] V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596.
- [16] G. Appendino, S. Bacchiega, A. Minassi, M. G. Cascio, L. De Petrocellis, V. Di Marzo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 9312; V. D. Bock, H. Hiemstra, J. H. van Maarseveen, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 51; M. Gajewski, B. Seaver, C. S. Esslinger, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 4163; S. Kim, M. Cho, T. Lee, S. Lee, H.-Y. Min, S. K. Lee, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 4584; T. Lee, M. Cho, S.- Y. Ko, H.-J. Youn, D. J. Baek, W.-J. Cho, C.-Y. Kang, S. Kim, J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 585.
- [17] A. Brik, J. Alexandratos, Y.-C. Lin, J. H. Elder, A. J. Olson, A. Wlodawer, D. S. Goodsell, C.-H. Wong, ChemBioChem 2005, 6, 1167.
- [18] C. A. Lipinski, Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1986, 21, 283.
- [19] W. S. Horne, M. K. Yadav, C. D. Stout, M. R. Ghadiri, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15366; M. H. Palmer, A. J. Gaskell, R. H. Findlay, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 778; W. P. Purcell, J. A. Singer, J. Phys. Chem. 1967, 71, 4316.
- [20] A. Brik, J. Muldoon, Y.-C. Lin, J. H. Elder, D. S. Goodsell, A. J. Olson, V. V. Fokin, K. B. Sharpless, C.-H. Wong, ChemBioChem 2003, 4, 1246; E. E. Kim, C. T. Baker, M. D. Dwyer, M. A. Murcko, B. G. Rao, R. D. Tung, M. A. Navia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 1181; J. R. Huff, J. Kahn, Adv. Protein Chem. 2001, 56, 213.
- [21] B. J. Magerlein, F. Kagan, J. Med. Chem. 1969, 12, 780.
- [22] F. Schlunzen, R. Zarivach, R. Harms, A. Bashan, A. Tocilj, R. Albrecht, A. Yonath, F. Franceschi, Nature 2001, 413, 814.
- [23] T. Steiner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 48.
- [24] R. D. Birkenmeyer, S. J. Kroll, C. Lewis, K. F. Stern, G. E. Zurenko, J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 216.
- [25] P. T. Nyffeler, C. H. Liang, K. M. Koeller, C. H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10773; A. Vasella, C. Witzig, J.-L. Chiara, M. Martin-Lomas, Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 2073.
- [26] R. L. Elliott, H. Kopecka, N.-H. Lin, Y. He, D. S. Garvey, Synthesis 1995, 772.
- [27] J. Alzeer, C. Cai, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 242.
- [28] F. Zhang, B. Bernet, V. Bonnet, O. Dangles, F. Sarabia, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 2008, 91, 608; S. Chassaing, M. Kumarraja, A. S. S. Sido, P. Pale, J. Sommer, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 883; F. Hammerschmidt, J.-P. Polsterer, E. Zbiral, Synthesis 1995, 415; B. H. M. Kuijpers, S. Groothuys, A. R. Keereweer, P. J. L. M. Quaedflieg, R. H. Blaauw, F. L. van Delft, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3123.
- [29] M. Rajeswaran, T. Srikrishnan, Carbohydr. Res. 2004, 339, 2111.
- [30] L. Verdier, G. Bertho, J. Gharbi-Benarous, J. P. Girault, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2000, 8, 1225.
- [31] A. S. Khamidullina, I. V. Vakulin, R. F. Talipov, I. S. Shepelevich, J. Struct. Chem. 2005, 46, 985; G. P. Moloney, D. J. Craik, M. N. Iskander, S. L. A. Munro, Aust. J. Chem. 1990, 43, 535.
- [32] M.-P. Collin, A. Vasella, unpublished work.
- [33] S. N. Hobbie, S. K. Kalapala, S. Akshay, C. Bruell, S. Schmidt, S. Dabow, A. Vasella, P. Sander, E. C. Böttger, Nucleic Acids Res. 2007, 35, 6086.
- [34] P. Sander, T. Prammananan, A. Meier, K. Frischkorn, E. C. Böttger, Mol. Microbiol. 1997, 26, 469.
- [35] P. Pfister, S. Jenni, J. Poehlsgaard, A. Thomas, D. Douthwaite, N. Ban, E. C. Böttger, J. Mol. Biol. 2004, 342, 1569.
- [36] J. Poehlsgaard, P. Pfister, E. C. Böttger, S. Douthwaite, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2005, 49, 1553.
- [37] T. D. W. Claridge, 'High-Resolution NMR Techniques in Organic Chemistry', Pergamon Press, New York, 1999, p. 142.

Received June 6, 2008